

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,859		06/24/2003	An-Kee Lim	1349.1194	7187
21171	7590	12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & I	HALSE	Y LLP	DOTE, JANIS L		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	YORK A	VENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT			1756		
				DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/601,859	LIM ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Janis L. Dote	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. I
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: see the attached, paragraph 1. (See 37 C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 9,11,12,15 and 23. Claim(s) objected to: 24. Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,13,14,16,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi wided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief, v	will <u>not</u> be

13. Other: _____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

see the attached, paragraph 3.

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/601,859	LIM ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Janis L. Dote	1756

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>30 November 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

·
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) ☑ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. ☑ E. Other: see the attached, paragraph 2 for explanation.
For further explanation of the amountment format required by 27 OFD 4 404 and MDFD 6 744 and the HODTO and the

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf.

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

<u>Extensions of time</u> are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Art Unit: 1756

1. The proposed amendments to claims 1, 5, 8, and 13, set forth in the amendment filed after the mailing of the final rejection on Nov. 30, 2005, raises numerous new issues that would require further consideration and/or a search including the issue of new matter. The examiner notes that the following examples are not exhaustive. For example, the proposed amendments to claims 1 and 5: (1) change the Bragg angle range of " $(2\theta +-0.2) = 9.5^{\circ}$ to 27.3° " to $--(2\theta +-0.2) = 9.5^{\circ}$ to 27.1° -- (emphasis added); (2) change the phrase "using dispersing materials" to -- using a dispersion machine --; and (3) add the limitation that "the single layered electrophotographic photoreceptor exhibits an $E_{1/2}$ of about 0.16 to about 0.22 $\mu Joules/cm^2$. . . " The limitations described in items (1) through (3) were not present in the claims when the final rejection was mailed. Furthermore, the limitations described in items (1) and (3) raise rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of an adequate written description of said limitations. The originally filed specification does not disclose the range of -- (20 +-0.2) = 9.5° to 27. $\underline{1}$ ° --, but discloses the range of "(20 +-0.2) = 9.5° to 27.3°". See the originally filed specification at paragraph 0016. There is no general disclosure in the originally filed specification for the $E_{1/2}$ range of "about 0.16 Application/Control Number: 10/601,859

Art Unit: 1756

to about 0.22 μ Joules/cm²." Examples 1-10 in the originally filed specification exemplify particular single-layered photoreceptors that exhibit values of $E_{1/2}$ of 0.169, 0.218, 0.182, 0.231, 0.2, 0.222, 0.22, 0.269, and 0.271 μ Joules/cm². Those particular examples only provide antecedent basis for those particular photoreceptors. The examples do not provide an adequate written description for the photoreceptors broadly recited in the proposed amended claims. In addition, the proposed amendments to claims 5 and 13, deleting the recitation of "an electrically conductive substrate," raises rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second and first paragraphs, for omitting an essential element.

Page 3

2. Notice of NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT (37 CFR 1.121)

Item C: Claim 9, labeled as "currently amended," is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 because there are no markings (i.e., underlining indicating addition and double brackets or strikethrough indicating deletion) present in the claim to indicate that the claim has been amended.

3. The examiner's refusal to enter the amendment filed after the mailing of the final rejection on Nov. 30, 2005, renders applicants' arguments regarding said amendment moot.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,859

Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

Claims 1-8, 13, 14, 16, 21, and 22 stand rejected for the reasons discussed in the final rejection mailed on Sep. 12, 2005. Furthermore, applicants' arguments regarding the rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of an adequate written description, are not persuasive for the reasons discussed in the final rejection. As discussed in the final rejection, the originally filed specification does not disclose that the resin used to form the dispersion liquid, which comprises the charge generation material and a solvent, can be the resin mixture recited in instant claim 16. Rather, the originally filed specification discloses that the binder resin in the resultant single photoreceptive layer can be the resin mixture recited in instant claim 16.